

From: [Max Salamon](#)
To: [Alex Dyer](#); [Bob Beckett](#); [Mike Irg](#); [Planning Shared](#)
Subject: TUP 22006
Date: June 8, 2022 8:41:28 AM

[CAUTION] This email originated from outside of the ACRD

To Whom it may concern,

TUP 22006 is a repurposed version of a failed TUP 18008. Neither the planning department nor the applicant has any credibility regarding any adherence to the requirements associated with the original TUP at 390 Grappler Road.

TUP 18008 had some redeeming elements as regards staff safety, workplace access, landscaping, public parking, local administration (ie: motel management), etc. The current application has no element of public benefit. TUP 22006 is an application for a temporary 12 unit motel (STR) with a 10 o'clock curfew.

No manager and no commitments. The claim that it is for "staff" is weak. The ACRD complaint process available to the community should there be a problem is notoriously ineffective. I thank Mr. Dyer for his thorough description of the complaint process at the last APC meeting but my experience with the process precludes putting any faith in it if it takes 6 years for a complaint to reach board level where it can be pursued for a 3 year TUP.

For example; October 14, 2015, email to Russel Dyson, Mike Irg, Kieth Wyton

Sirs,

Withheld as per
Sec. 15 (1) (a)
of FOIPPA

[REDACTED]

Do you require more time to investigate this complaint or have you dismissed it as groundless? Please let me know within a "reasonable" time whether this complaint is active or has been dismissed as groundless. Your silence has ensured that I am not in possession of any confidential information and barring a substantive response from you I feel free to advance my complaint to a higher authority. Thank you,
Max Salamon

October 14, 2021: Email from Mike Irg to me Bob Becket, Teri Fong

Hi Max,

The ACRD Board of Directors reviewed your email complaints regarding [REDACTED] and asked that I follow up with you.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Regards,

Mike

[REDACTED]

At minimum, I would ask that the facility be under local management with a contact number for any complaints. Perhaps as an annex to the motel where the motel is responsible for its' operation and management.

When I considered subdividing my adjacent property I was informed by the water practioner that perc tests must be performed on undisturbed ground. This application is on nothing but disturbed ground. I don't know how you can morph a typical household septic system (5 people according to the planning department) into a system for 13 people. I have seen no evidence of any secondary or tertiary treatment on the property. Does it have a valid septic permit for 13 residents?

In short, I am asking for an EFFECTIVE complaint mechanism, a caretaker/manager for the duration of the TUP and reassurance that the septic system is adequate for the intended purpose as regards TUP 22006.

Thank You,
M. Salamon