

Kerri Creighton

From: Nick Haisch [REDACTED]
Sent: February 23, 2026 9:34 PM
To: Planning Shared
Subject: Re: Lost Shoe TUP

[CAUTION] This email originated from outside of the ACRD

Hello,

Sorry, after finding the updated proposal, we believe the Lost Shoe has been in breach of conditions 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10 of the new TUP. I'm just relisting these with the current TUP to reduce confusion.

1. This permit is issued to allow for the operation of a campground consisting of 34 unserviced/partially serviced (hydro only) sites and 15 serviced sites for long-term (monthly) and short-term (daily) rental.
2. All structures on the property will be used for storage, office use, bicycle rentals, and security. No structure or cottage will be utilized as a dwelling unit.
3. Emergency management and security policies are to be available for review by ACRD staff and enforced by the applicant. There shall be a posted quiet time of 10 pm
8. Garbage disposal and recycling facilities will be provided and maintained daily to reduce attractants for wildlife.
9. Adequate washroom facilities and access to potable water must be available to customers, tenants, and visitors to the site.
10. Staff and/or the owner or caretaker will always be available on site.

Please include the above as a reference to my original letter.

Lastly, we would propose a larger setback than 0.9 m for accessories, if accessories are to include campsites, RVs, Mobile homes, Travel trailers, Tiny Homes, etc. We believe a larger setback is required for other campsites in the ACRD's zoning bylaws. If the applicants would like to apply for a smaller setback we would propose that the owners have the southern and eastern boundary professionally surveyed and clearly marked.

Thanks,

Nick Haisch

On Mon, Feb 23, 2026 at 9:08 PM Nick Haisch [REDACTED] wrote:

Hello,

The Lost Shoe Campground does provide a vital service to the West Coast, allowing affordable long term camping. We hope that they can continue to provide that service in a way that doesn't have a negative impact on the surrounding properties in the area. However, we do not feel the owners have been capable of managing that amount of campers by the terms of their TUP over the last two years. We believe the owners have been in breach of conditions 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, and 7 of their previous TUP. Because

of this, other campgrounds/public facilities in the area have experienced dangerous food conditioned bear encounters, excessive noise after 10pm, and short term guests using public washroom facilities(or trespassing onto other campgrounds to use theirs).

As neighbors to the Lost Shoe we do not care how many campers they have on the property as long as it does not affect other people in the area. Unfortunately this has not been the case. We would hope that the Lost Shoe is only allowed as many campers as the owners are able to appropriately manage.

Thanks,

Nick Haisch